

Zulkifli Bin Mohamad Ali

AND

Public Prosecutor

(High Court of Brunei Darussalam) (Criminal Appeal No. 21 of 2014)

Steven Chong, J. 9 August 2014

Criminal law – Appeal – Multiple drug offences including possession for the purpose of trafficking of methylamphetamine – Whether sentence excessive.

Appellant unrepresented.

DPP Ms Yvonne Lim for the Public Prosecutor/Respondent.

Steven Chong, J.:

On 29 April 2013 in the Magistrate's Court the appellant faced 11 charges under the Misuse of Drugs Act:

- (a) 1 charge of possession for the purpose of trafficking of methylamphetamine contrary to section 3A (9th Charge);
- (b) 2 charges of possession of methylamphetamine contrary to section 6(a) (1st and 7th Charges);
- (c) 4 charges of consuming methylamphetamine contrary to section 6(b) (2nd, 5th, 8th and 10th Charges); and
- (d) 3 charges of possession of utensil intended for the consumption of methylamphetamine contrary to section 7 (3rd, 6th and 11th Charges).



The appellant pleaded guilty to 8 charges (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 10th Charges) and contested 3 charges (4th, 9th and 11th Charges) whereupon the prosecution withdrew the 4th Charge.

On 28 January 2014 the trial on the 9th and 11th Charges proceeded before Senior Magistrate Haji Nabil Daraina Bin PUKDPSS Ustaz Haji Badaruddin. The appellant was convicted of these two charges on 12 April 2014.

The Senior Magistrate sentenced the appellant as follows:

- (a) Section 3A offence (9th Charge): 5 years and 5 strokes.
- (b) Section 6(a) offences (1st and 7th Charges): 2 months on each charge.
- (c) Section 6(b) offences (2nd, 5th, 8th and 10th Charges): 3 years on each charge.
- (d) Section 7 offences (3rd, 6th and 11th Charges): 1 month on each charge.

The sentences on the 1^{st} , 3^{rd} , 6^{th} , 7^{th} and 9^{th} Charges were ordered to run consecutively but concurrently with the sentences on the remaining charges resulting in a total of $5\frac{1}{2}$ years and 5 strokes.

This is an appeal by the appellant against that sentence on the ground that it is excessive.

The appellant is aged 42 and was employed as a driver's assistant. He was previously married to 2 wives but has recently divorced one of them. There are 9 children dependent on the appellant.

These offences were committed over a period of nearly 4 years in August 2007, May 2008, April 2009 and March 2011. The appellant has a prior conviction for drug consumption contrary to section 6(b) in August 2000.

The Senior Magistrate imposed the minimum sentence of 5 years and 5 strokes in respect of the charge of possession for the purpose of trafficking of 4.6109 grams of methylamphetamine contrary to section 3A (9th Charge) upon convicting the appellant after a full trial. As such, the appellant really has nothing to complain about on this sentence.



As to the overall sentence, the Senior Magistrate rightly considered the principle of totality in ordering only the sentences on 5 out of the 10 charges to run consecutively resulting in a total sentence of 5½ years and 5 strokes which can hardly be considered to be excessive.

The appeal is dismissed.

DATO PADUKA STEVEN CHONG

Judge, High Court